

Participants

- m morphiss			
Lisa Jackson	Megan Abraham	Cindy Music	
Rick Zink	Tiffany Mauro	Eileen Luke	
Greg Rentschler	Emily Inoue	Feridoon Mehdizadegan	
Kimberly Rice	Ian Foley		
Helmuth Rogg	Dan Mackesy		

FY18 Agreements

All CAPS agreements should be obligated at the full funding amount. If not, please contact your SPHD/ADODR or agreements specialist.

S&T CAPS Support

Tara Holtz, an Assistant Director in the PERAL Lab in Raleigh, has assumed the role of the S&T representative for the Pest Detection Management Team, and is the new team lead for the CPHST CAPS Support Team. Tara replaces Rick Zink in this role. Tara is the designated acting point of contact for all CAPS science support topics and issues. For questions regarding CAPS pests, approved or other survey methodology, trap and lure development, commodity and taxon survey manuals, and other aspects of S&T CAPS Support, please contact Tara at (919) 855-7423 or tara.m.holtz@aphis.usda.gov. Continue to cc Dan Mackesy at daniel.a.mackesy@aphis.usda.gov and Heather Moylett at heather.moylett@aphis.usda.gov during this time of transition.

We all want to thank Rick for his support, guidance, and advice for his many years of participation and engagement with the PDMT, NCC, and the CAPS community. Rick was wholly responsible for formulating, initiating, and coalescing the CPHST CAPS Support Unit into a positive force within the Pest Detection Program. His leadership will be missed.

Farm Bill

Questions are still being asked about Goal 1 Survey and what needs to be in the Survey Summary Form and what data needs to be entered where, especially with regard to the National Honeybee Survey. This information is posted on the 2018 Farm Bill page of the CAPS R&C website. The following two documents on this page, or directly at the links below, should answer all your questions.

<u>Guidance for Funded Surveys</u> <u>Survey Summary Form and Data Requirements for Funded Surveys</u>

Note: A reminder to the NCC, please distribute CAPS updates, conference-call minutes, and other CAPSrelated information to the constituency that you represent in a timely manner. Also, please bring their items, issues, concerns, and opinions back to the NCC for discussion. It is our responsibility that everyone is kept engaged in the CAPS program.



The cooperative agreement staff is working hard to process the remaining 2018 work plans. The deadline for Goal 1 Survey was May 25th, and July 1 for all other goals. The Survey Summary Form will close on June 8. Any changes thereafter will be through the change request process.

The Farm Bill Management Team met last week in Raleigh to discuss plans for 2019. Work plan templates and accomplishment reports were discussed. The same Goal 1 Survey template from last year will be used for FY19. The Team is in the process of developing new templates for the other goals that will better capture the overall work plan objective, timeline, and financial plan. All the necessary information needed for review and the development of a work plan will be captured in the new template, but will be more goal focused rather than the generic version currently in use. The accomplishment report template will reflect what is in the work plan. Drafts are being prepared as an aid to the new templates and will be vetted by the goal teams.

Approved Method Update for *Dendrolimus pini* (Pine-tree lappet) and *D. sibiricus* (Siberian silk moth)

The approved method for *Dendrolimus pini* (pine-tree lappet) and *D. sibiricus* (Siberian silk moth) is the "*Dendrolimus pini* - *Dendrolimus sibiricus* Lure" and "Milk Carton Trap." The milk carton trap is modified by combining the two entry ports into one larger port on each side of the trap to accommodate the size of the adult moths and, until 2012, a plastic funnel was placed inside the trap (Lance, 2006). In 2012, the funnels were no longer available and states were directed to continue using the modified milk carton trap with (preferably) or without the funnel insert.

We recently became aware that <u>the modified milk carton traps without the funnel inserts are</u> <u>ineffective and use should be discontinued</u>. Without the funnel, the moths are not contained, easily escaping through the large entry ports (2.5 cm wide x 3 cm high), or are not held long enough for adequate exposure to the killing agent, a DDVP strip.

▶ For the 2018 survey season for both Pest Detection/CAPS and Farm Bill:

- <u>States with plastic funnels in good repair</u> may survey for *Dendrolimus pini* and *D. sibiricus* using the modified milk carton trap with the plastic funnel inserted.
- States without plastic funnels should remove *Dendrolimus pini* and *D. sibiricus* from their work plans and Survey Summary Forms for 2018 by submitting a change request. Change requests can be submitted through the Survey Planning page on the CAPS Resource and
- <u>States without the plastic funnels</u> should **not** report negative data and should remove any traps already placed in the field. Survey with the plastic funnel should not be done.

In preparation for the 2019 survey season, CAPS is working with the Survey Supply Procurement Program to procure plastic funnels. The plastic funnels will be available for purchase ahead of the 2019 survey season, depending on availability from the manufacturer. In



an effort to identify the most effective trap, S&T scientists are also evaluating a new trap as a potential approved trap for future use in *Dendrolimus pini* and *D. sibiricus* surveys.

Lance, D. 2006. Guidelines for detection trapping of exotic Lymantriid and Lasiocampid Moths

Survey Supplies Procurement Program

John Crowe has been re-assigned as National Policy Manager for the Spotted Lanternfly Program. There will be a series of temporary duty assignments to cover his responsibilities until the position is filled permanently. Rosemarie Rodriguez-Yanes will be the acting National Survey Supply Coordinator from June 10 – September 29, 2018.

Orders are still running a week behind. There have been staffing shortages at Moore Airbase and two core members of the Survey Supply team at Moore have been assisting with other duties. The vacant Facilities Director position will be announced in the next few weeks and this should ease the burden on the Survey Supply team.

If you have any concerns about your orders, please reach out to Rosemarie and Lisa. Lisa will be on vacation during the week of June 11-15. During this time, please email John Crowe and Rosemarie Rodriguez-Yanes (rosemarie.rodriguez-yanes@aphis.usda.gov), and cc Lisa.

CAPS R&C Measures & Metrics

John and David recently spent a day at Purdue reconciling how CAPS, Pest Detection, and Farm Bill survey metrics are determined. This effort is now complete, and we can move forward with reporting plans. At present, the metrics are available to anyone with a login to the CAPS R&C site. Links will appear in the right-hand ribbon. Clicking on Performance Measures will give you a series of links to various pages containing tables of performance measures and other metrics over several years. Much of this information is based on Survey Summary Form content. The next step in the project is to take this information and tell a story about the program that can be used in the same manner as an annual report, but updated in real time with any changes through the SSF change request process and as data is entered into NAPIS.

CAPS R&C Re-Design

Purdue's Marketing and Media Department have reviewed the survey information received. Thank you to all who provided input. They have started to work on the redesign that will improve navigation, etc., but will not change the content. As they get into the meat of the redesign they will be looking to the NCC and other members of the CAPS community to provide input and feedback. Cindy has started a list of volunteers, so if you would like to be involved, please contact Cindy asap.



NAPIS Database Access and Fair Use

A lot of thought and conversation has been conducted along the lines of access to the NAPIS database and what is fair and appropriate use of the information. As a result of some 'incidents' and an increased volume of access requests, the PDMT and Purdue have proposed several measures to inform users, review requests for data meant to be shared, and better manage access requests. These measures are based on the facts that the NAPIS database and its associated reports and maps is a wholly owned state system and that the individual states 'own' the data in the database. With access also comes responsibility.

Below is the proposed language for all of the users logging into the NAPIS database. After successfully authenticating, they have to "Agree" to this policy.

Permission to access data in the National Agricultural Pest Information System (NAPIS) does not confer rights to share, present, or publish any raw, processed, or summary data outside of your own individual use. Specific permission is required for each disclosure event whether digital or analog, oral or written, and can be granted only after review by a NAPIS Data Access Review Team (a combined Purdue/CERIS and APHIS/PPQ panel).

Access to the data means that a user can run reports for their individual use, but can't share, present, or publish information to outside entities unless approved by the NAPIS Data Access Review Team. This is supported by a General MOU between APHIS and each state in which each agrees to protect each other's data and not share further. All of the states can view one another's data, but if the data is desired to be shared outside of the Core 4 (SPHD, SPRO, PSS, SSC), state permission is required. Cindy will incorporate fair and appropriate use of NAPIS data into her training sessions.

Another specific access issue that is being addressed is sharing data and information obtainable from the various products of the CAPS Information Systems with third party commercial software entities. This includes information and data from the CAPS R&C site and the NAPIS database. Sharing information and data with third party commercial software entities for the development of other commercial products can provide an unfair competitive advantage to a specific commercial entity and can also be an infringement of Purdue University's copyright. Requests for sharing with third party commercial software entities need to be reviewed and approved by the NAPIS Data Access Review Team.

Purdue will:

- Develop a module or system to accept requests for both NAPIS access and the sharing of NAPIS data. For example, requests to share information may need to provide a detailed description of the data to be shared, whom it is to be shared with, for what purpose, and do they have SPRO approval. Similar detailed questions will be asked for access requests.
- Develop standards for data collection app development. The idea is not to hinder app development in support of the CAPS program, but rather to level the playing field for all app



developers as to not give one entity a competitive advantage over another. The same information, protocols, and/or processes will be available to those interested.

• Provide lists of those with NAPIS access who are not currently one of the Core 4. These lists will be distributed by John to the NCC to share with their constituency to either confirm that the person listed still requires access, or does not because of a change in role, no longer with the organization, etc.

Guidance for Selecting Survey Names

This will be discussed on the July NCC call. Please share the document in the invitation with your constituency and invite feedback.

Pollinator Bycatch

This will be discussed on the July NCC call. Please share the document in the invitation with your constituency and invite feedback.

NAPIS 'I' Pest Status

This also will be discussed on the July NCC call. The feedback received so far leans towards implementation.

The next NCC call will be held on Thursday, July 12, 2018, at 1:00 pm eastern time.

Postponed one week due to Independence Day.

Please forward to your NCC representative any agenda topics for discussion on the next NCC call.

In Case You Missed It from Last Month's Minutes

Proposed New Pest Status in NAPIS - +I

A new pest status for positive observations is being considered for Regulatory Incident or Interception records where the detection is not in the environment. The use of the new pest status designation would depend on the situation of the detection, such as in a warehouse, an importer with wood-packing material, in a truck, pet store, a residence with wood furniture, or other instances where the pest is not found in the environment, but only detected in a specific situation. Usually the pest is mitigated in some fashion, and the situation no longer exists. The proposed new designation would be an 'I,' and would be used when the user wishes to enter this type of record into the NAPIS database for their own information or as a service to other states. The suggested entry would be +I, and would be optional. Initial opinion ranges over the spectrum.