Oryctes rhinoceros

Scientific Name

Oryctes rhinoceros (Linnaeus, 1758)

Synonyms:

Oryctes stentor Castelnau, 1840 *Scarabaeus rhinoceros* Linnaeus

Common Name

Coconut rhinoceros beetle (CRB), coconut beetle, rhinoceros beetle, palm rhinoceros beetle, Asiatic rhinoceros beetle

Type of Pest

Beetle

Taxonomic Position

Class: Insecta, Order: Coleoptera, Family: Scarabaeidae

Reason for Inclusion in Manual

Suggestion from CAPS Community

Pest Description

Descriptions of all life cycles can be found in Gressitt (1953).

Eggs: Eggs are whitish brown and 3 to 4 mm (approx. $^{1}/_{8}$ to $^{3}/_{16}$ in) (Giblin-Davis, 2001). Eggs are initially soft and oblong but swell into a rubbery circle four to five days after being laid (Hinckley, 1973).

Larvae: Newly hatched larvae are 7.5 mm long (approx. ${}^{5}\!/_{16}$ in) (Lever, 1979). "The large (60 to 105 mm long [approx. $2 {}^{3}\!/_{8}$ to $4 {}^{1}\!/_{8}$ in]) white mature larva is C-shaped, with a brown head capsule and legs. The posterior part of the abdomen is a bluish-grey colour" (Giblin-Davis, 2001). Larval instars are



Figure 1. *Oryctes rhinoceros* female (Mark Schmaedick, American Samoa Community College).



Figure 2. Oryctes rhinoceros male (Aubrey Moore, University of Guam).



Figure 3. Larva of *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Mark Schmaedick, American Samoa Community College).

differentiated by the size of their head capsules (Gressitt, 1953).

<u>Pupae:</u> "The color is somewhat yellowish brown and the body has a somewhat rubbery appearance. Most of the external adult structures can be detected...the pupa ranges from 39.4 to 51.5 mm. [approx. $1^{9}/_{16}$ to 2 in] long, 19-23.6 [approx. $3'_{4}$ to $1^{5}/_{16}$ in] wide, and 16 to 19.4 [approx. $5'_{8}$ to $3'_{4}$ in] deep...the surface is rather smooth and shiny, with minute punctures or bristles in part. The ventral surfaces and legs bear distinct reddish bristles. The sclerotization of the exoskeleton is highly developed, and the beetle is extremely strong. The sides of the mandibles and parts of the legs and ventral surfaces of the body are clothed with bright reddish brown hairs" (Gressitt, 1953).

<u>Adults:</u> Adults range from 30 to 57 mm (approx. 1 $^{3}/_{16}$ to 2 $^{1}/_{4}$ in) (Giblin-Davis, 2001) and are black "with a fine reddish pubescence on the ventral surface." Adults "bear on the head a median horn curved backward; the horn is much longer in the male than in the female" (Lever, 1979).

<u>Male:</u> "The male has a large horn on its head and a smooth and shiny abdomen" (Vargo, 1995).

<u>Female:</u> "The female has a smaller horn [than the male] but is distinguished by long, erect, reddish hairs that are visible at the tip of the abdomen" (Vargo, 1995).

There can be considerable variation in body size and the length of the cephalic horn in both males and females. This is correlated with the larval environment (Gressitt, 1953).

Biology and Ecology

The life cycle can range from 4 to 9 months. More than one generation can occur per year (Giblin-Davis, 2001); when conditions are favorable there may be more than 3

generations per year. All stages of the pest may be found at the same time (Gressitt, 1953).

Adults mate several times in dead or dying plant material (Catley, 1969), including decaying palm trunks (Zelazny and Alfiler, 1987). The females make a serpentine burrow in which they lay their eggs. According to Hinckley (1973), females can oviposit in "almost any log or heap soft enough for burrowing, yet firm enough to provide compacted frass". Females lay between 3 and 4 clutches of eggs with about 30 eggs per clutch (Hinckley, 1973), laying between 70 and 140 eggs total



Figure 4. Breeding site of *Oryctes rhinoceros* under a coconut log (Mark Schmaedick, American Samoa Community College).

(Giblin-Davis, 2001). After oviposition, they cover them with the chewed material (Hinckley, 1973). Males usually arrive after the females lay their eggs and stay longer in the breeding site to prepare the wood for the larvae (Zelazny and Alfiler, 1991; Alfiler, 1999). Zelazny and Alifiler (1987) described four phases of the adult life of *O. rhinoceros* in the Philippines: immature phase, first feeding, breeding phase, and late life feeding. The last two phases occurred simultaneously. In late life feeding, the beetles will occasionally make additional visits to palms (reviewed in Alfiler, 1999).

Eggs are laid in manure, rotten wood, decaying vegetation found in coconut plantations, or other organic matter (Giblin-Davis, 2001; Schmaedick, 2005). Trees damaged by typhoons can serve as breeding sites (Moore, 2007). Bedford (1976) states that dead standing palms are the most favored breeding sites. Eggs hatch in about 12 days (Giblin-Davis, 2001).

Larvae can be found in decaying or dead standing palms (Giblin-Davis, 2001) and eat rotting organic matter (Muniappan, 2002). They may be found feeding in the trunks of living palms, but this is only when the tree is already seriously injured by the adult or other means (Gressitt, 1953). In Guam, a significant number of *O. rhinoceros* have been found to complete their entire life cycle in the crowns of coconut palms with larvae feeding on decaying detritus caught between the petioles (Moore, 2012). Crowns are only damaged by adults as they bore into folded, emerging fronds to feed on sap.

In lab conditions, larvae preferred temperatures around 27 to 29°C (81° to 84°F) and had a strong negative phototaxis response, possibly an adaptation against desiccation and predation (Bedford, 1980). They avoid areas where temperatures are above 37°C (100°F) (Hinckley, 1973). Larvae seem to prefer areas with high moisture content, with more larvae being found in material when moisture content was 80 to 100% (Kamarudin et al., 2005). Earlier work found a moisture content over 77% was needed for satisfactory larval development (reviewed in Kamarudin et al., 2005). They develop fully in 72 to 130 days (Giblin-Davis, 2001) going through three instars (Gressitt, 1953; Hinckley, 1973). Once mature, larvae go through a nonfeeding pre-pupal stage lasting 8 to 13 days before pupation occurs (Schmaedick, 2005).

Pupation usually occurs in the soil but can also occur in the larval habitats as well (Giblin-Davis, 2001). Larvae construct oval pupal cells measuring 55 x 35 x 33 mm (approx. $2^{3}/_{16}$ x $1^{3}/_{8}$ x $1^{5}/_{16}$ in) enclosing it with sawdust and frass (Gressitt, 1953) and sometimes construct cocoons of soil or plant tissue when pupating in softer material (Vargo, 1995). Pupation lasts about 20 days. Adults will remain in the cocoons after pupation is complete for about 11 to 20 days (Giblin-Davis, 2001). This is to allow the exoskeleton time to darken and harden (Hinckley, 1973).

After emergence, adults remain mostly around the breeding sites (Giblin-Davis, 2001). Adults can fly to the crowns of host plants to feed, flying between dusk and dawn (Catley, 1969). The depth of burrows made by adults can range from 2 to 50 cm (approx. $^{3}/_{4}$ to 19 $^{11}/_{16}$ in), averaging around 21 cm (approx. 8 $^{1}/_{4}$ in). Adults stay to feed in the burrows several days (Hinckley, 1973). Under favorable conditions, adults are likely to fly short distances whereas longer flights occur when conditions are adverse

(Catley, 1969). Hinckley (1973) found that after feeding, adults could travel distances between 2 and 4 km (1.24 to 2.48 mi). Flight is inhibited by rain and moonlight (Vargo, 1995). Adults live for about 3 months (Schmaedick, 2005).

Damage

Damage is caused by the adult stage, specifically young adults that bore into the crowns of healthy palms. Adults can penetrate 10 to 50 cm (approx. $3^{15}/_{16}$ to $19^{11}/_{16}$ in) down close to the center of the spear cluster (Giblin-Davis, 2001). Adults bite through the tightly packed unopened leaves in the central bud. The leaf midribs are most often injured (Lever, 1979).

After entering the palm, the beetle feeds on juice produced by host tissue (Giblin-Davis, 2001). It then bores outwards emerging from the base of a central frond (Barber et al., 1971). Adult feeding damages the inflorescences and

fronds which may decrease or delay fruit production. Mature fronds will often have patches of missing foliage if attacked while in the developing stage (Giblin-Davis, 2001). Damage can appear as V-shaped cuts in the fronds or holes in the midrib (Schmaedick, 2005). Plants killed by *O. rhinoceros* provide additional breeding sites for future generations (Moore, 2007).

There is a positive correlation between abundance of larval food and damage by adults to palms. Outbreaks can be



Figure 5. Palm damage caused by *O. rhinoceros* adults (Ben Quichocho, USDA-APHIS, Guam).



Figure 6. Feeding damage caused by *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Ernie Nelson, Greenscapes Inc, Guam).

caused by natural catastrophes (typhoons, diseases) or human destruction (replanting, milling, composting, military devastation) (Hinckley, 1973).

In older healthy palms, beetle damage can be tolerated. But in palms from 1 to 3 years old, damage by *O. rhinoceros* can result in severe malformation or death (Giblin-Davis, 2001). Death of the palm occurs when the beetle bores down to the meristematic tissue which can destroy the growing point. This is more likely to occur in young palms with small crowns (Catley, 1969). Attacks are typically concentrated on the margins of palm groves and on taller palms (reviewed in Bedford, 1980).

Adults chew the plant tissue to extract the juices and leave a fibrous frass which is pushed out of the burrow entrance. This extruded material is a sign that adults are still present in the host (Catley, 1969; Giblin-Davis, 2001). They will infrequently bore into partly grown nuts (causing nut drop) and into date palm inflorescences (reviewed in Gressitt, 1953). Gressitt (1953) states that *O. rhinoceros* prefers tall, mature palms, but when lacking will attack young palms.

In sugarcane, adults will bore upwards in the stem from the entrance near ground level (reviewed in Gressitt, 1953).

Pest Importance

In its native range, it is controlled by various factors, but when introduced to new areas without natural controls (like predators or diseases) it becomes a threat to coconuts and other palms (Gressitt, 1953).

Oryctes rhinoceros is considered one of the most damaging insects to coconut and African oil palm in southern and South East Asia as well as the western Pacific Islands (Giblin-Davis, 2001). It can attack other palm species as well (Schmaedick, 2005). Economic losses to copra (dried coconut kernel) producers in Asia and the Pacific Islands can be high and are affected by reduced yields, the cost of control measures, plantation establishment costs (re-planting to replace dead or severely damaged trees), and quarantine procedures to limit the spread (Catley, 1969).

The introduction of *O. rhinoceros* into Palau led to the widespread devastation of coconuts on some of the islands, with overall tree mortality around 50% within 10 years (Gressitt, 1953; Moore, 2007). Estimates in India suggest that *O. rhinoceros* is responsible for at least 10% loss in coconut yield. In Diego Garcia (part of the British Indian Ocean Territory), one-third of coconut seedlings planted are killed by this pest (reviewed in Catley, 1969). Estimated annual costs of *O. rhinoceros* in South Pacific Territories exceed \$1.1 million (Catley, 1969).

Deep burrows in young palms can often be lethal due to either direct injury to the growing tip or through secondary infections by bacteria or fungi (Hinckley, 1973). The palm may also suffer severe loss of leaf tissue which can decrease nut set (Vargo, 2000). Damage caused by *O. rhinoceros* can lead to secondary infestations by

pathogens and weevils. Secondary damage caused by *Rhynchophorus* spp. are considered more severe than the initial *O. rhinoceros* attacks (Catley, 1969).

Known Hosts

Gressitt (1953) states that the preferred larval habitat is *Cocos nucifera* (coconut) wood, followed by *Artocarpus* spp. (breadfruit), *Calophyllum inophyllum* (Alexandrian laurel), *Mangifera* spp. (mango), and *Pandanus* spp. (pandanus palms). The larvae of *O. rhinoceros* feed on rotting organic matter (Muniappan, 2002).

Adults feed on several species of palm as well as coconut. Hosts of adults include:

Major hosts

Cocos nucifera (coconut), *Elaeis* spp., and *Elaeis guineensis* (African oil palm) (Giblin-Davis, 2001).

Minor hosts

Acanthophoenix rubra (barbel palm), Agave sisalana (sisal agave), Agave americana (American agave), Aiphanes horrida (=A. caryotifolia) (ruffle palm), Ananas spp. (pineapple), Ananas comosus (pineapple), Areca spp. (areca palm), Areca catechu (betel-nut palm), Arecastrum plumosa¹, Arenga spp. (arenga palm), Arenga pinnata (sugar palm), Borassus spp. (borassus palm), Borassus flabellifer (palmyra palm), Caryota urens (fish-tail palm), Casuarina equisetifolia (Australian pine), Clinostigma samoense (=Cyphokentia samoensis), Colocasia spp. (taro)², Corypha spp. (gebang palm), Corypha umbraculifera (talipot palm), Corypha utan (=C. elata) (buri palm), Cyathea spp. (tree fern), Dictyosperma album (red palm), Dypsis pinnatifrons (=D. gracilis), Heterospathe elata var. palauensis, Hydriastele palauensis (= Gulubiopsis palauensis), Hyophorbe lagenicaulis (=Mascarena lagenicaulis) (bottle palm), Latania spp., Livistona spp. (livistona), Livistona chinensis (Chinese fan palm), Metroxylon spp. (metroxylon), Metroxylon amicarum (=Coelococcus carolinensis) (Caroline ivory-nut palm), Metroxylon sagu (sago palm), Musa spp. (banana), Normanbya spp., Nypa spp., Nypa fruticans (nipa palm), Oncosperma spp., Pandanus spp. (pandanus palms), Pandanus tectorius (Tahitian screwpine), Phoenix spp. (date palm), Phoenix dactylifera (date palm), Phoenix sylvestris (wild date palm), Pinanga spp., Pinanga insignis (=Pseudopinanga insignis), Pritchardia pacifica (Fiji fan palm), Raphia farinifera (=R. ruffia) (raffia palm), Raphia vinifera (bamboo palm), Roystonea regia (royal palm), Saccharum spp. (sugarcane), Stevensonia spp., Thrinax spp. (thatch palm), Verschaffeltia splendida (Seychelles stilt palm), and Wodyetia bifurcata (foxtail palm) (Gressitt, 1953; Lever, 1979; Elfers, 1988; Giblin-Davis, 2001; Hail, 2010).

¹ This may refer to the species *Syagrus romanzoffiana* (queen palm) (Gressitt, 1953) ² Listed as a possible host in Gressitt (1953).

Pathogen or Associated Organisms Vectored

This species is not known to vector any pathogens or other associated organisms. However, adult burrows can provide access to secondary insects and pathogens that can kill the palm. Gressitt (1953) states that this species has been reported to spread bud rot on coconut palms. Gressitt (1953) did not state whether *O. rhinoceros* vectored the pathogen or if the pathogen gained access to infect the plant through adult burrows. This disease is caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* (Srinivasulu et al., 2008).

Known Distribution

This species is found throughout Asia and the western Pacific (Giblin-Davis, 2001).

Africa: Mauritius, Mayotte, and Reunion; Asia: Bangladesh, Brunei, Burma, Cambodia, Chagos Archipelago, China, Cocos Islands, India, Indonesia, Japan, Laos, Malaysia, Maldives, Philippines, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, Thailand, and Vietnam; Middle East: Iran, Oman, Pakistan, and Yemen; Oceania: American Samoa, British Indian Ocean Territory, Fiji, Guam, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Tokelau, Tonga, and Wallis and Futuna (Lever, 1979; Bedford, 1980; reviewed in CABI, 1998; reviewed in Mohan, 2005; Schmaedick, 2005; Al-Habshi et al., 2006; Moore, 2007).

Status of Infestation in Guam (March 2013)

"In September 2007, an infestation of *Oryctes rhinoceros* was discovered along the beach of Tumon Bay in Guam. Since 2007, *O. rhinoceros* spread considerably from its original focus. Most of northern and central Guam is now infested. Through a combination of regulation, sanitation, and chemical and biological pesticides, the population has been suppressed. This supports the effort to prevent damage to palms in Guam and the movement of *O. rhinoceros* to other nearby islands" (T. McNary, personal communication, 2013).

Status of Infestation in Hawaii (April 2014)

"In November 2013, one CRB adult was found in the international arrivals baggage claim area of Honolulu International Airport. APHIS checked the palms in the surrounding area and because no CRB damage was found, this interception was believed to be an isolated regulatory incident. On December 23, 2013, one suspect CRB was caught in a trap that was part of the Cooperative Agricultural Pest Survey program between APHIS and the University of Hawai'i. The suspect specimen was confirmed on January 3, 2014. Subsequent surveys captured additional adult beetles, the majority of which have been collected from one primary breeding site. Several hundred larvae, pupae, and adults were physically removed by hand from this breeding site in a compost area near a golf course on O'ahu.

USDA-APHIS is cooperating with other agencies and partnering organizations for assistance and support to fully complete the CRB program's overall objectives, including identification and mitigation of breeding sites, delimitation of the island of Oahu (trap deployment and monitoring; visual survey), public education and outreach, and containment of pathways that could spread the beetle to the U.S. mainland or Mexico" (D. McPartlan, personal communication, 2014).

Pathway

This species may have moved throughout the Pacific on host material. The larvae are also known to survive floating logs transported on ocean currents (Lever, 1979). Logs

are cast into the sea when there is not a pronounced dry season and logs cannot be burnt, as in Western Samoa (Catley, 1969). The spread of *O. rhinoceros* throughout the Pacific was helped through the shipping of wartime equipment (Lever, 1979).

It is believed that this species was introduced into Guam by a gravid female hitchhiking on cargo from Asia (Moore, 2007).

This species has been intercepted five times at U.S. ports of entry. Live adults were found in all instances. Two interceptions originated from Indonesia, one from China, one from Malaysia, and one from Sri Lanka. Only one interception occurred on host material (*Cocos nucifera*) suggesting that this species can move as a hitchhiker pest (AQAS, 2012, queried May 16, 2012).

Potential Distribution within the United States

There are currently no available host or risk maps available for this species. According to USDA-NRCS (2012), the main host *Cocos nucifera* (coconut) is found in Florida, Hawaii, North Carolina, and also Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. *Phoenix* spp. (date palms) are found in Arizona, California, Florida, Hawaii, Massachusetts, and Maryland, while *Elaeis* spp. (oil palms) are found in Florida. *Saccharum* spp. (sugarcane) are grown throughout all of the southern half of the United States as well as Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands (USDA-NRCS, 2012). According to the 2007 Census of Agriculture, there are four states that grow sugarcane for harvest, Florida, Hawaii, Louisiana, and Texas (USDA-NASS, 2009). All of these are non-native, introduced species (USDA-NRCS, 2012).

Note: USDA-NRCS (2012) may not list all states where host plant material occurs.

Survey

<u>CAPS-Approved Method*</u>: There are two CAPS-approved methods for *O. rhinoceros.* Visual surveys may be used to detect larval or adult populations. A trap and lure combination may be used to detect adult populations. Both methods are useful in detecting a new infestation. The lure for this species is not as effective as pheromones for other insects (*e.g.*, moth pheromones); therefore, visual inspection of host material adds additional confidence to the survey.

Visual Inspection

V-shaped cuts

Oryctes rhinoceros adults bore through developing leaves and cause V-shaped cuts that are very visible and distinctive (A. Moore, 2013, personal communication). Damage can appear as V-shaped cuts in the fronds or holes in the midrib. This type of damage is often the first sign of an O.



Figure 7. V-shaped cuts in fronds (Ben Quicocho, Guam).

rhinoceros infestation. A V-shaped cut on its own does not confirm an *O. rhinoceros* infestation; there could be other causes for the cuts. Suspected CRB damage as indicated by v-shaped cuts should be confirmed by locating one or more bore holes. Surveyors should look for a large (2.5 cm (1 inch)) bore hole in the crown of the attacked palm (A. Moore, 2013, personal communication). The bore hole is not always visible without removing outer petioles. If a bore hole is not present, the damage was not caused by CRB.

IMPORTANT: Permission **must** be obtained by the property owner before performing any destructive sampling of the palms. This should not be considered unless the palm is highly suspect (shows obvious damage or is dying).



Figure 8. Feeding damage caused by CRB (Ernie Nelson, Greenscapes Inc., Guam).



Figure 9. Adult feeding hole (Ben Quicocho, Guam).

<u>Frass</u>

Adults chew the plant tissue and leave a fibrous frass which is pushed out of the burrow entrance. This extruded material is a sign that adults are still present in the host.

Trapping

1.1 Trap and Lure

There are two trap options for *O. rhinoceros*: 1) vaned bucket trap (home-made or commercial) or 2) bucket trap (home-made or commercial). There is one lure needed to trap for *O. rhinoceros*, the aggregation pheromone (ethyl 4-methyloctanoate). The addition of a food bait is optional for this target. Pieces of decaying coconut material may be used as a food bait. The lure should be replaced every six weeks (42 days).

IPHIS Survey Supply Ordering System Lure Product Names:

1) Oryctes rhinoceros Aggregation Lure

IPHIS Survey Supply Ordering System Trap Product Names:

- 1) Vaned Bucket Trap **or**
- 2) Palm Weevil Bucket Trap

Note: Do not include lures for other palm pests in the same trap when trapping for *O. rhinoceros.*

1.2 Trap Construction

There are two trap types that may be used; both traps are available commercially. Traps may either be purchased or constructed on site. Traps should have the following features:

A. Vaned Bucket Trap

(from A. Moore, 2013, personal communication)

- Five gallon bucket; interior diameter at the rim should be 28.5 cm (11 ¹/₄ in) (Figure 11).
- Vanes panels made out of 6 mm ($\sim^{1}/_{4}$ in.) thick corrugated plastic.
- Dimensions of panels:
 - Length of panel is 61 cm (24 in),
 - Maximum width of panel is 30.5 cm (12 in),
 - Hole cut in the panels for hanging lure: 8 cm (about 3 in) in diameter.
- The distance from the top of the bucket to the top of the vanes is 30 cm (12 in).
- Bottom part of the vanes should taper to fit in a 5 gallon bucket (Figure 11).



Figure 10. One of the trap vanes (Aubrey Moore, University of Guam)



Figure 11. Vaned bucket trap (Aubrey Moore, University of Guam)

 Allow a 5 cm (about 2 in) clearance between the bottom of the vanes and the bottom of the bucket.

B. Bucket Trap

- Rough texture on the outside of the bucket to allow beetles to crawl up the outer surface (attach burlap, ground cloth, or some other material, to the outside of the container) (Figure 12).
- Holes large enough (approximately 3 cm $(1 \frac{1}{5} \text{ in})$) to permit beetle entry in the side of the bucket, cut near the rim (Figure 13).
- A tight-fitting trap lid to prevent contamination of the trap contents.
- Trap lid with a loop for hanging the trap in trees.



Figure 12. Homemade bucket trap covered with burlap (Image courtesy of Amy Roda, USDA-APHIS).



Figure 13. Homemade bucket trap with entrance holes (Image courtesy of Amy Roda, USDA-APHIS).

1.3 Food Bait Preparation

Decaying coconut material may be used in addition to the two lures. Using the food bait has been shown to increase trap catches but it is optional. Place the decaying coconut material (about 7 L or three pieces of coconut wood measuring 6 cm thick x 30 cm diameter $(2^{3}/_{8} \times 11^{13}/_{16} \text{ in})$, cut up) in the bottom of the trap (Allou et al., 2006). Liquid is not needed in the trap; *O. rhinoceros* is not prone to escaping traps (A. Moore, 2013, personal communication).

1.4 Trap Placement

For surveys in the urban environment, traps should be suspended from trees or poles.



Figure 14. Lid of homemade bucket trap with hanging lure (Image courtesy of Amy Roda, USDA-APHIS).

Traps should be hung approximately 2 meters (6.6 feet) above the ground. This will reduce the possibility of disturbance by people, pets, and wild animals. Since ethyl acetate is not used in the lure combination for *O. rhinoceros*, attacks by native palm weevils have not been recorded to occur. Therefore, traps may be hung in host trees, non-host trees, telephone poles, or other vertical structures.

Survey Site Selection:

Areas with host plant material should be targeted. Nurseries as well as residential or public areas where host palms are used as ornamentals should be targeted for survey. Sugarcane and coconut plantations may also be surveyed for this species. *Oryctes rhinoceros* attacks palms of all ages (A. Moore, 2013, personal communication).

Time of year to survey:

This species is found year round in its current distribution. In Yemen, peaks in the population occur around March (Al-Habshi et al., 2006). In Guam, flight activity peaks are correlated with the full moon (Moore, 2012).

*For the most up-to-date methods for survey and identification, see Approved Methods on the CAPS Resource and Collaboration Site, at <u>http://caps.ceris.purdue.edu/</u>.

Key Diagnostics/Identification

CAPS-Approved Method*: Morphological.

Descriptions of the life stages can be found in Gressitt (1953). A key to *Oryctes* species can be found in Endrödi (1985).

*For the most up-to-date methods for survey and identification, see Approved Methods on the CAPS Resource and Collaboration Site, at <u>http://caps.ceris.purdue.edu/</u>.

Easily Confused Pests

Oryctes rhinoceros larvae are similar to other larval species in the scarabeid family, including *Oryctes centaurus*, *Oryctoderus* sp., *Scapanes australis*, *Trichogomphus fairmairei*, and *Xylotrupes gideon*. A key to differentiate the larval stages of these species can be found in Bedford (1974).

Mohan (2005) states that this species is similar to *O. boas* (rhinoceros beetle) and *O. monoceros* (coconut beetle), both of which attack *C. nucifera* (coconut) (Gressitt, 1953). *Oryctes gnu* (=*O. trituberculatus*) is also similar but larger than *O. rhinoceros*. *Oryctes gnu* has three tubercles on the thoracic ridge whereas *O. rhinoceros* has two (CABI, 2008). None of these species are known to occur in the continental United States.

Some species in the tribes Oryctini and Dynastini may be confused with *Oryctes rhinoceros*. In North America, only seven species in the Oryctini tribe occur: five species of *Strategus* and two species of *Xyloryctes* (R. Brown, 2013, personal communication). Some species in the Dynastini tribe, particularly females, could be confused with *Oryctes rhinoceros* (R. Brown, 2013, personal communication).

A genus level key to Dynastinae found in North America can be found in Ratcliffe (2002), while an interactive key to subfamilies and tribes of Scarabaeidae in the New World can be found in Villatoro and Jameson (2001). Neither includes *Oryctes* spp., but these keys may be useful in sorting out non-targets.

More information on *O. rhinoceros* and similar species in the genus can be found in Dechambre and Lachaume (2001). More information on similar Dynastidae species can be found in Lachaume (1999).

References

Alfiler, A. R. R. 1999. Increased attraction of *Oryctes rhinoceros* aggregation pheromone, ethyl 4methyloctanoate, with coconut wood. 5 pp.

Al-Habshi, K. A., S. A. Ba-Angood, S. O. Al-Baiti. 2006. The occurrence of the date palm borer *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Linnaeus) in light traps in Wadi Hadramout in 2002 (abstract). University of Aden Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences 10(3):419-426.

Allou, K., J.-P. Morin, P. Kouassi, F. H. N'klo, and D. Rochat. 2006. *Oryctes monoceros* trapping with synthetic and palm material in Ivory Coast. Journal of Chemical Ecology 32: 1743-1754.

AQAS. 2012. AQAS database, *Oryctes rhinoceros* interceptions. Accessed May 16, 2012 from: <u>https://aqas.aphis.usda.gov/aqas/</u>.

Barber, I. A., T. P. McGovern, M. Beroza, C. P. Hoyt, and A. Walker. 1971. Attractant for the coconut rhinoceros beetle. Journal of Economic Entomology 64(5): 1041-1044.

Bedford G. O. 1974. Descriptions of the larvae of some rhinoceros beetles (Col., Scarabaeidae, Dynastinae) associated with coconut palms in New Guinea. Bulletin of Entomological Research 63(3):445-472.

Bedford, G. O. 1980. Biology, ecology, and control of palm rhinoceros beetles. Annual Review of Entomology 25: 309-339.

Brown, R. 2013. CAPS datasheet review for *Oryctes rhinoceros*. Personal communication to L. D. Jackson on May 17, 2013 from R. Brown, Domestic Identifier (Entomology), USDA-APHIS-PPQ.

CABI. 1998. Distribution maps of plant pests. *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Linnaeus). Map no. 54, 2nd revision.

CABI. 2008. Crop Protection Compendium. Wallingford, UK: CAB International. Current online version at <u>http://www.cabicompendium.org/cpc</u>.

Catley, A. 1969. The coconut rhinoceros beetle *Oryctes rhinoceros* (L) (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Dynastinae]. PANS 15(1): 18-30.

Dechambre, R. –P. and G. Lachaume. 2001. The Beetles of the World (Les Coleopteres du Monde). Volume XXVII *Oryctes.* Hillside Books, Canterbury.72 pp.

Elfers, S. C. 1988. The Nature Conservancy Element Stewardship Abstract for *Casuarina equisetifolia*. 14 pp.

Endrödi S. 1985. The Dynastinae of the World. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Dr. W. Junk, 800 pp.

Giblin-Davis, R. M. 2001. Borers of Palms. In F. W. Howard, D. Moore, R. M. Giblin-Davis, and R. G. Abad [eds.] Insects on Palms. CABI Publishing. pp. 267-304.

Gressitt, J. L. 1953. The coconut rhinoceros beetle (*Oryctes rhinoceros*) with particular reference to the Palau Islands. Bernice P. Bischop Museum, Bulletin 212. 157 pp.

Hail, J. 2010. Rhino beetles take aim at new palm species. Kuam News. Accessed May 15, 2012 from: <u>http://www.kuam.com/Global/story.asp?S=11746032</u>.

Hallett, R. H., A. L. Perez, G. Gries, R. Gries, H. D. Pierce Jr., J. Yue, A. C. Oehlschlager, L. M. Gonzalez, and J. H. Borden. 1995. Aggregation pheromone of coconut rhinoceros beetle, *Oryctes rhinoceros* (L.) (Coloeptera: Scarabaeidae). Journal of Chemical Ecology 21(10): 1549-1570.

Hallett, R. H., A. C. Oehlschlager, and J. H. Borden. 1999. Pheromone trapping protocols for the Asian palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). International Journal of Pest Management 45(3): 231-237.

Hinckley, A. D. 1973. Ecology of the coconut rhinoceros beetle, *Oryctes rhinoceros* (L.) (Coleoptera: Dynastidae). Biotropica 5(2): 111-116.

Kamarudin, K., M. B. Wahid, and R. Moslim. 2005. Environmental factors affecting the population density of *Oryctes rhinoceros* in a zero-burn oil palm replant. Journal of Oil Palm Research 17: 53-63.

Lachaume, G. 1999. The Beetles of the World (Les Coleopteres du Monde). Volume XIV Dynastidae. Hillside Books, Canterbury.89 pp.

Lever, R. J. A. W. 1979. Pests of the Coconut Palm. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 190 pp.

Mankin, R. W. and A. Moore. 2010. Acoustic detection of *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Dynastinae) and *Nasutitermes luzonicus* (Isoptera: Termitidae) in palm trees in urban Guam. Journal of Economic Entomology 103(4): 1135-1143.

McNary, T. 2013. Status of Infestation in Guam (March 2013). Personal communication to L. D. Jackson on March 5, 2013 from T. McNary, Director of Detection and Response, PPQ Field Operations.

McNary, T. 2014. Status of Infestation in Hwaii (April 2014). Personal communication to L. D. Jackson on April 15, 2014 from Deborah L. McPartlan, National Policy Manager - Pest Detection & Emergency Management, Pest Evaluation.

Mohan, C. 2005. Global Invasive Species Database, *Oryctes rhinoceros*. Accessed May 17, 2012 from: http://www.issg.org/database/species/ecology.asp?si=173.

Moore, A. 2007. Assessment of the Rhinoceros Beetle Infestation on Guam. 2 p.

Moore, A. 2012. Guam Coconut Rhinoceros Beetle Eradication Project. Semiannual Report for USDA APHIS Grant 11-8510-1123-CA. Performance Period: July-December, 2011. University of Guam Cooperative Extension Service. 66 pp.

Moore, A. 2013. CRB Trapping Questions. Personal communication to L. D. Jackson on April 3, 2013 from A. Moore, Extension Entomologist, Western Pacific Tropical Research Center.

Muniappan, R. 2002. Pests of coconut and their natural enemies in Micronesia. Micronesica Suppl. 6: 105-110.

Ratcliffe, B. C. 2011. Family 34. Scarabaeidae. V. Dynastinae MacLeay 1819. *In* R. H. Arnett, Jr., M. C. Thomas, P. E. Skelley, and J. H.Frank (eds) Volume 2, American Beetles, Polyphaga: Scarabaeoidea through Curculionoidea. pp. 64-67.

Schmaedick, M. 2005. Coconut Rhinoceros Beetle. Pests and Diseases of American Samoa. No. 8. American Samoa Community College, Community and Natural Resources, Cooperative Research and Extension.

Srinivasulu, B., B. Gautam, A. Sujatha, M. Kalpana, P. Vijaya Lakshmi, A. Pavani Rani, B. S. R. S. Chandran, and Y. Rama Krishna. 2008. Bud Rot Disease of Coconut. AICRP on Palms, HRS, Ambajipeta Technical Bulletin. 24 pp.

USDA-NASS. 2009. United States Summary and State Data. Volume 1. Geographic Area Series, Part 51. AC-07-A-51. United States Department of Agriculture, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

USDA-NRCS. 2012. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC USA. Accessed May 16, 2012 from: <u>http://plants.usda.gov</u>.

Vargo, A. M. 1995. Coconut rhinoceros beetle. In J. R. Nechols, L. A. Andres, J. W. Beardsley, R. D. Goeden, and C. G. Jackson (eds.) Biological Control in the Western United States. Accomplishments and Benefits of Regional Research Project W- 84, 1964-1989. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Publication 3361. pp.171-172.

Vargo, A. 2000. Coconut Rhinoceros Beetle (*Oryctes rhinoceros*). Agricultural Pests of the Pacific, Agricultural Development in American Pacific 2000-4.

Villatoro, K. and M. L. Jameson. 2001. Key to the Subfamily Dynastinae. *In* B.C. Ratcliffe and M.L. Jameson (eds.) Generic Guide to New World Scarab Beetles. Accessed May 23, 2013 from: <u>http://museum.unl.edu/research/entomology/Guide/Scarabaeoidea/Scarabaeidae/Dynastinae/Dynastinae</u> <u>-Key/DynastinaeK.html</u>.

Zelazny, B. and A. R. Alfiler. 1987. Ecological methods for adult populations of *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). Ecological Entomology 12: 227-238.

Zelazny, B. and A. R. Alfiler. 1991. Ecology of baculovirus-infected and healthy adults of *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) on coconut palms in the Philippines. Ecological Entomology 16: 253-259.

This datasheet was developed by USDA-APHIS-PPQ-CPHST staff. This pest is included as a target in the Palm Survey. Additional information can be found in the **Palm Commodity-based Survey Reference**. Cite this document as:

Molet, T. 2013. CPHST Pest Datasheet for *Oryctes rhinoceros*. USDA-APHIS-PPQ-CPHST. Revised July 2014.

Revisions

1) Distribution: added information on the Status of Infestation in Hawaii.